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The Classical Intermediate Value Theorem

Any continuous $f:[0,1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with $f(0) \leq 0 \leq f(1)$ has a zero.

Indeed, $f\left(x_{0}\right)=0$ where $x_{0} \equiv \sup \{x \mid f(x) \leq 0\}$.

A so-called "closed formula".

## A program: interval halving

$$
\text { Let } a_{0} \equiv 0 \text { and } e_{0} \equiv 1
$$

By recursion, consider $c_{n} \equiv \frac{1}{2}\left(a_{n}+e_{n}\right)$ and

$$
a_{n+1}, e_{n+1} \equiv \begin{cases}a_{n}, c_{n} & \text { if } f\left(c_{n}\right)>0 \\ c_{n}, e_{n} & \text { if } f\left(c_{n}\right) \leq 0\end{cases}
$$

so by induction $f\left(a_{n}\right) \leq 0 \leq f\left(e_{n}\right)$.

But $a_{n}$ and $e_{n}$ are respectively (non-strictly) increasing and decreasing sequences, whose differences tend to 0.

So they converge to a common value $c$.

By continuity, $f(c)=0$.

## Where is the zero?

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { For }-1 \leq p \leq+1 \text { and } 0 \leq x \leq 3 \text { consider } \\
& \qquad f_{p} x \equiv \min (x-1, \max (p, x-2))
\end{aligned}
$$

Here is the graph of $f_{p}(x)$ against $x$ for $p \approx 0$.


## Where is the zero?

The behaviour of $f_{p}(x)$ depends qualitatively on $p$ and $x$ like this:


$$
\begin{aligned}
& f(1)=0 \Longleftrightarrow p \geq 0 \\
& f(2)=0 \Longleftrightarrow p \leq 0 \\
& f\left(\frac{3}{2}\right)=0 \Longleftrightarrow p=0
\end{aligned}
$$

If there is some way of finding a zero of $f_{p}$, as a side-effect it will decide how $p$ stands in relation to 0 .

## Let's bar the monster

```
Definition \(f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}\) doesn't hover if, for any \(e<t, \quad \exists x .(e<x<t) \wedge(f x \neq 0)\).
```

Exercise Any nonzero polynomial doesn't hover.

## Interval halving again

Suppose that $f$ doesn't hover.
Let $a_{0} \equiv 0$ and $e_{0} \equiv 1$.
By recursion, consider

$$
b_{n} \equiv \frac{1}{3}\left(2 a_{n}+e_{n}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad d_{n} \equiv \frac{1}{3}\left(a_{n}+2 e_{n}\right)
$$

Then $f\left(c_{n}\right) \neq 0$ for some $b_{n}<c_{n}<d_{n}$, so put

$$
a_{n+1}, e_{n+1} \equiv \begin{cases}a_{n}, c_{n} & \text { if } f\left(c_{n}\right)>0 \\ c_{n}, e_{n} & \text { if } f\left(c_{n}\right)<0\end{cases}
$$

so by induction $f\left(a_{n}\right)<0<f\left(e_{n}\right)$.
But $a_{n}$ and $e_{n}$ are respectively (non-strictly) increasing and decreasing sequences, whose differences tend to 0.

So they converge to a common value $c$.
By continuity, $f(c)=0$.

## Stable zeroes

The revised interval halving algorithm finds zeroes with this property:

Definition $a \in \mathbb{R}$ is a stable zero of $f$
if, for all $e<a<t$,

$$
\exists y z .(e<y<a<z<t) \wedge(f y<0<f z \vee f y>0>f z)
$$




Exercise Check that a stable zero of a continuous function really is a zero.

Classically, a zero is stable iff
every nearby function (in the sup or $\ell_{\infty}$ norm) has a nearby zero.

## Straddling intervals

Proposition An open subspace $U \subset \mathbb{R}$ touches $S$, i.e. contains a stable zero, $a \in U \cap S$, iff $U$ contains a straddling interval,

$$
[e, t] \subset U \quad \text { with } \quad f e<0<f t \quad \text { or } \quad f e>0>f t
$$

Proof $\quad[\Leftarrow]$ The straddling interval is an intermediate value problem in miniature.

If an interval $[e, t]$ straddles with respect to $f$ then it also does so with respect to any nearby function.

## The possibility operator

## Notation Write $\diamond U$ if $U$ contains a straddling interval.

By hypothesis, $\diamond I \Leftrightarrow \top$ (where $I$ is some open interval containing $\mathbb{I}$ ).

$$
\text { Trivially, } \diamond \emptyset \Leftrightarrow \perp \text {. }
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { Theorem } \diamond \cup_{i \in I} U_{i} \Longleftrightarrow \exists i . \diamond U_{i} . \\
\text { Consider } \\
V^{ \pm} \equiv\left\{x \mid \exists y: \mathbb{R} . \exists i: I .(f y<0) \wedge[x, y] \subset U_{i}\right\} \\
\text { so } \mathbb{I} \subset V^{+} \cup V^{-} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Then $x \in(a, c) \subset V^{+} \cap V^{-}$by connectedness, with $f x \neq 0$ and $[x, y] \subset U_{i}$.

## The Possibility Operator as a Program

Let $\diamond$ be a property of open subspaces of $\mathbb{R}$ that preserves unions and satisfies $\diamond U_{0}$ for some open interval $U_{0}$.

Then $\diamond$ has an "accumulation point" $c \in U_{0}$,
i.e. one of which every open neighbourhood $c \in U \subset \mathbb{R}$ satisfies $\diamond U$.

In the example of the intermediate value theorem, any such $c$ is a stable zero.
Interval halving again: let $a_{0} \equiv 0, e_{0} \equiv 1$
and, by recursion, $b_{n} \equiv \frac{1}{3}\left(2 a_{n}+e_{n}\right)$ and $d_{n} \equiv \frac{1}{3}\left(a_{n}+2 e_{n}\right)$, so

$$
\diamond\left(a_{n}, e_{n}\right) \equiv \diamond\left(\left(a_{n}, d_{n}\right) \cup\left(b_{n}, e_{n}\right)\right) \Leftrightarrow \diamond\left(a_{n}, d_{n}\right) \vee \diamond\left(b_{n}, e_{n}\right) .
$$

Then at least one of the disjuncts is true, so let $\left(a_{n+1}, e_{n+1}\right)$ be either $\left(a_{n}, d_{n}\right)$ or $\left(b_{n}, e_{n}\right)$.

Hence $a_{n}$ and $e_{n}$ converge from above and below respectively to $c$.

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { If } c \in U \text { then } c \in\left(a_{n}, e_{n}\right) \subset(c \pm \epsilon) \subset U \text { for some } \epsilon>0 \text { and } n, \\
\text { but } \diamond\left(a_{n}, e_{n}\right) \text { is true by construction, } \\
\text { so } \diamond U \text { also holds, since } \diamond \text { takes } \subset \text { to } \Rightarrow .
\end{gathered}
$$

## Enclosing cells of higher dimensions

Straddling intervals can be generalised.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Let } f: \mathbb{R}^{\mathbf{n}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{\mathbf{m}} \text { with } n \geq m \text {. } \\
& \text { Let } C \subset \mathbb{R}^{\mathbf{n}} \text { be a sphere, cube, etc. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Definition $C$ is an enclosing cell if
$0 \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathrm{m}}$ lies in the interior of the image $f(C) \subset \mathbb{R}^{\mathrm{m}}$.
(There is a definition for locally compact spaces too.)

Notation Write $\diamond U$ if $U \subset \mathbb{R}^{\mathbf{n}}$ contains an enclosing cell.

Theorem If $\diamond\left(\cup_{i \in I} U_{i}\right) \Leftrightarrow \exists i . \diamond U_{i}$ then
cell halving finds stable zeroes of $f$.

## Modal operators, separately

$$
\begin{gathered}
Z \equiv\{x \in \mathbb{I} \mid f x=0\} \text { is closed and compact. } \\
W \equiv\{x \mid f x \neq 0\} \text { is open. }
\end{gathered}
$$

$S$ is the subspace of stable zeroes.

Notation For $U \subset \mathbb{R}$ open, write $\square U$ if $Z \subset U($ or $U \cup W=\mathbb{R})$.$X$ is true and$U \wedge \square V \Rightarrow \square(U \cap V)$
$\diamond \emptyset$ is false and $\diamond(U \cup V) \Rightarrow \diamond U \vee \diamond V$.

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
(Z \neq \emptyset) & \text { iff } & \square \emptyset \text { is false } \\
(S \neq \emptyset) & \text { iff } & \diamond \mathbb{R} \text { is true }
\end{array}
$$

Both operators are Scott continuous.

## Modal operators, together

The modal operators $\diamond$ and $\square$ for the subspaces $S \subset Z$ are related in general by:$\square \wedge \diamond V \Rightarrow \diamond(U \cap V)$

$$
U \Longleftrightarrow(U \cup W=X)
$$

$$
\diamond V \Rightarrow(V \not \subset W)
$$

$S$ is dense in $Z$ iff
$\square(U \cup V) \Rightarrow \square U \vee \diamond V$

$$
\diamond V \Leftarrow(V \not \subset W)
$$

In the intermediate value theorem for functions that don't hover (e.g. polynomials):
$S=Z$ in the non-singular case
$S \subset Z$ in the singular case (e.g. double zeroes).

## Open maps

> For continuous $f: X \rightarrow Y$, if $V \subset Y$ is open, so is $f^{-1}(V) \subset X$ if $V \subset Y$ is closed, so is $f^{-1}(V) \subset X$ if $U \subset X$ is compact, so is $f(U) \subset Y$ (if $U \subset X$ is overt, so is $f(U) \subset Y$ )
> Definition $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is open if, whenever $U \subset X$ is open, so is $f(U) \subset Y$.

Proposition If $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is open then
if $V \subset Y$ is overt, so is $f^{-1}(V) \subset X$.

Corollary If $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is open then all zeroes are stable.

## Examples of open maps

$$
\text { If } f: \mathbb{R}^{\mathbf{n}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{\mathbf{n}} \text { is continuously differentiable, and } \operatorname{det}\left(\frac{\partial f_{j}}{\partial x_{i}}\right) \neq 0 \text {. }
$$

If $f: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is analytic and not constant - even if it has coincident zeroes.

Cauchy's integral formula:
a disc $C \subset \mathbb{C}$ is enclosing iff $\oint_{\partial C} \frac{d z}{f(z)} \neq 0$.
Stokes's theorem!

## Possibility operators classically

> Define $\diamond U$ as $U \cap S \neq \emptyset$, for any subset $S \subset \mathbb{R}$ whatever.

Then $\diamond\left(\bigcup_{i \in I} U_{i}\right)$ iff $\exists i . \diamond U_{i}$.

Conversely, if $\diamond$ has this property, let

$$
\begin{gathered}
S \equiv\{a \in \mathbb{R} \mid \text { for all open } U \subset \mathbb{R}, \quad a \in U \Rightarrow \diamond U\} \\
W \equiv \mathbb{R} \backslash S=\bigcup\{U \text { open } \mid \neg \diamond U\} \\
\text { Then } W \text { is open and } S \text { is closed. } \\
\neg \diamond W \text { by preservation of unions. } \\
\text { Hence } \diamond U \text { holds iff } U \not \subset W \text {, i.e. } U \cap S \neq \emptyset .
\end{gathered}
$$

If $\diamond$ had been derived from some $S^{\prime}$ then $S=\overline{S^{\prime}}$, its closure.

## Possibility operators: summary

$\diamond$ is defined, like compactness, in terms of unions of open subspaces, so it is a concept of general topology

The proof that $\diamond$ preserves joins uses ideas from geometric topology, like connectedness and sub-division of cells.
$\diamond$ is like a bounded existential quantifier, so it's logic.

A very general algorithm uses $\diamond$ to find solutions of problems.

But classical point-set topology is too clumsy to take advantage of this.

## Overt and compact subspaces

Overt subspace
$\diamond U$ means $U$ touches $\diamond$
for any $U, \quad(a \in U) \Rightarrow \diamond U$
$a$ is an accumulation point of $\diamond$
$a$ is in the closure of $\diamond$

Compact subspace$U$ means $U$ covers
for any $U, \square U \Rightarrow(a \in U)$
$a$ is in the saturation of $\square$

Compact subspace of Hausdorff space is closed

Closed subspace of compact space
is Hausdorff

## Overt and compact subspaces

Overt subspace of discrete space
$\diamond \phi$ means $\phi$ touches $\diamond$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\phi_{x} y \equiv(y \in\{x\}) \equiv(x=y) \\
\alpha x \equiv \diamond(\lambda y \cdot x=y)
\end{gathered}
$$

Compact subspace of Hausdorff space
$\square \phi$ means $\phi$ covers

$$
\begin{gathered}
\phi_{x} y \equiv(y \in \overline{\{x\}}) \equiv(x \neq y) \\
\omega x \equiv \square(\lambda y \cdot x \neq y)
\end{gathered}
$$

Open subspace of overt space

$$
\diamond \phi \equiv \exists_{N}(\alpha \wedge \phi)
$$

$\square \phi \equiv \forall_{K}(\omega \vee \phi)$

## Overt and compact subspaces

Overt subspace
$\diamond U$ means $U$ touches $\diamond$

$$
\frac{U \subset W}{\neg \diamond U}
$$

$$
\frac{A \cap U=\emptyset}{\neg \diamond U}
$$

Closed subspace $X \backslash W$
Compact subspace$U$ means $U$ covers

$$
\frac{U \cup W=X}{\square U}
$$

$\frac{A \subset U}{\square U}$

## Overt and compact subspaces

> Overt subspace
> defined by $\diamond: \Sigma^{X}$
> $a \in \diamond$ if $\phi a \Rightarrow \diamond \phi$

$$
\frac{\phi \leq \omega}{\stackrel{\phi}{\diamond \phi \Leftrightarrow \perp}}
$$

Closed subspace co-classified by $\omega: \Sigma^{X}$
$a \in \omega$ if $\omega a \Leftrightarrow \perp$
Open subspace

$$
\frac{\alpha \wedge \phi \Leftrightarrow \perp}{\widehat{\diamond \phi \Leftrightarrow \perp}}
$$

classified by
$\alpha: \Sigma^{X}$.
$a \in \alpha$ if $\alpha a \Leftrightarrow \top$
general
case

Compact subspace defined by $\square: \Sigma^{\Sigma^{X}}$
$a \in \square$if $\square \phi \Rightarrow \phi a$

$$
\frac{\phi \vee \omega \Leftrightarrow \top}{\square \phi \Leftrightarrow \top}
$$

$$
\frac{\alpha \leq \phi}{\square \phi \Leftrightarrow \top}
$$

$\square(\lambda x . \theta(x, \square)) \Rightarrow$
$\square(\lambda x . \theta(x, \lambda \phi . \square \phi \wedge \phi x))$,

Modal Iaws

Overt subspace

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
\diamond \perp \Leftrightarrow \perp & \square \top \Leftrightarrow \top \\
\diamond(\phi \vee \psi) \Leftrightarrow \diamond \phi \vee \diamond \psi & \square(\phi \wedge \psi) \Leftrightarrow \square \phi \wedge \square \psi \\
\sigma \wedge \diamond \phi \Leftrightarrow \diamond(\sigma \wedge \phi) & \sigma \vee \square \phi \Leftrightarrow \square(\lambda x . \sigma \vee \phi x) \\
\diamond(\lambda x \cdot \diamond(\lambda y \cdot \phi x y)) \Leftrightarrow \diamond(\lambda y . \diamond(\lambda x . \phi x y)) \quad \square(\lambda x . \square(\lambda y \cdot \phi x y)) \Leftrightarrow \square(\lambda y . \square(\lambda x . \phi x y)) \\
\square \text { Mixed modal laws } \\
\square \phi \vee \diamond \psi \Leftarrow \square(\phi \vee \psi) \quad \text { and } \quad \square \phi \wedge \diamond \psi \Rightarrow \diamond(\phi \wedge \psi)
\end{array}
$$

## Empty/inhabited is decidable

Overt subspace

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
\diamond \top \Leftrightarrow \perp & \text { empty } & \square \perp \Leftrightarrow \top \\
\diamond \top \Leftrightarrow \top & \text { inhabited } & \square \perp \Leftrightarrow \perp \\
\square \perp \vee \diamond \top \Leftarrow & \text { complementary } & \square \perp \wedge \diamond \top \Rightarrow \\
\square(\perp \vee \top) \Leftrightarrow \square \top \Leftrightarrow \top & \text { (mixed modal laws) } & \diamond(\perp \wedge \perp) \Leftrightarrow \diamond \perp \Leftrightarrow \perp
\end{array}
$$

The dichotomy means that the parameter space $\Gamma$ is a disjoint union.

So, if it is connected, like $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbf{n}}$, something must break at singularities. It is the modal law $\square(\phi \vee \psi) \Rightarrow \square \phi \vee \diamond \psi$.

## Compact overt subspace of $\mathbb{R}$ defines a Dedekind cut

Overt subspace $\diamond$
$\begin{array}{ccc}\perp, \vee, \vee \text { and so } \exists_{\mathbb{R}} & \text { commutes with } & \top, \wedge \text { and } V \\ \delta d \equiv \diamond(\lambda k . d<k) & \text { Dedekind cut } & v u \equiv \square(\lambda k . k<u) \\ (d<e) \wedge \delta e \equiv & \text { Iower/upper } & v t \wedge(t<u) \equiv \\ (d<e) \wedge \diamond(\lambda k . e<k) & & \square(\lambda k . k<t) \wedge(t<u) \\ \Leftrightarrow \diamond(\lambda k . d<e<k) & \text { (Frobenius/ } \square \top) & \Leftrightarrow \square(\lambda k . k<t<u) \\ \Rightarrow \diamond(\lambda k . d<k) \equiv \delta d & \text { (transitivity) } & \Rightarrow \\ \Leftarrow & \square(\lambda k . k<u) \equiv v u \\ & \text { rounded (interpolation) } & \Leftarrow\end{array}$

| $\exists d . \delta d \equiv \exists d . \diamond(\lambda k . d<k)$ | inhabited | $\exists u . v u \equiv \exists u . \square(\lambda k . k<u)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\Leftrightarrow \diamond(\lambda k . \exists d . d<k)$ | (directed joins) | $\Leftrightarrow \square(\lambda k . \exists u . k<u)$ |
| $\Leftrightarrow \diamond \top \Leftrightarrow \top$ (inhabited) | (extrapolation) | $\Leftrightarrow \square \top \Leftrightarrow \top$ |

## Compact overt subspace of $\mathbb{R}$ defines a Dedekind cut

$$
\begin{gathered}
\delta \text { and } v \text { are disjoint, by transitivity of }< \\
(\delta d \wedge v u) \equiv \diamond(\lambda k . d<k) \wedge \square(\lambda k . k<u) \Rightarrow \diamond(\lambda k . d<k \wedge k<u) \Rightarrow(d<u) \\
\delta \text { and } v \text { are located (touch), by locatedness of }< \\
(\delta d \vee v u) \equiv \diamond(\lambda k . d<k) \vee \square(\lambda k . k<u) \Leftarrow \square(\lambda k . d<k \vee k<u) \Leftarrow(d<u) .
\end{gathered}
$$

The proofs are dual, each using one of the mixed modal laws, and $\diamond \sigma \Rightarrow \sigma \Rightarrow \square \sigma$.

## Compact overt subspace of $\mathbb{R}$ defines a Dedekind cut

Hence there is some $a: \mathbb{R}$ with

$$
\delta d \Leftrightarrow(d<a) \Leftrightarrow \diamond(\lambda k . d<k) \quad \text { and } \quad v u \Leftrightarrow(a<u) \Leftrightarrow \square(\lambda k . k<u)
$$

$$
\text { Moreover, } a \in K
$$

Recall that $K$ is the closed subspace co-classified by $\omega x \equiv \square(\lambda k . x \neq k)$, so we must show that $\omega a \Leftrightarrow \perp$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\omega a \equiv \square(\lambda k . a \neq k) & \Leftrightarrow \square(\lambda k . a<k) \vee(k<a) \\
& \Rightarrow \diamond(\lambda k \cdot a<k) \vee \square(\lambda k . k<a) \\
& \equiv \delta a \vee v a \\
& \Leftrightarrow(a<a) \vee(a<a) \Leftrightarrow \perp .
\end{aligned}
$$

## Compact overt subspace of $\mathbb{R}$ has a maximum

Any overt compact subspace $K \subset \mathbb{R}$ is
either empty
or has a greatest element $\max K \equiv a \in K$.

This satisfies, for $\Gamma \vdash x: \mathbb{R}$,

$$
\left.\begin{array}{c}
(x<\max K)
\end{array} \begin{array}{c}
(\exists k: K . x<k) \\
(\max K<x)
\end{array} \Leftrightarrow(\forall k: K \cdot k<x)\right)
$$

## The Bishop-style proof

$K$ is totally bounded if,
for each $\epsilon>0$, there's a finite subset $S_{\epsilon} \subset K$ such that $\forall x: K . \exists y \in S_{\epsilon} .|x-y|<\epsilon$.

If $K$ is closed and totally bounded,
either the set $S_{1}$ is empty, in which case $K$ is empty too, or $x_{n} \equiv \max S_{2^{-n}}$ defines a Cauchy sequence that converges to max $K$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { But } K \text { is also overt, with } \\
& \diamond \phi \equiv \exists \epsilon>0 . \exists y \in S_{\epsilon} . \phi y \text {. }
\end{aligned}
$$

$K$ is located if, for each $x \in X$, $\inf \{|x-k| \mid k \in K\}$ is defined.
(A different usage of the word "located".)
closed and totally bounded $\Rightarrow$ compact and overt $\Rightarrow$ located

Total boundedness and locatedness are metrical concepts.
Compactness and overtness are topological.

## The real interval is connected

Any closed subspace of a compact space is compact. Any open subspace of an overt space is overt.

Any clopen subspace of an overt compact space is overt compact, so it's either empty or has a maximum.

Since the clopen subspace is open, its elements are interior, so maximum can only be the right endpoint of the interval.

Any clopen subspace has a clopen complement.
They can't both be empty, but
in the interval they can't both have maxima (the right endpoint).

Hence one is empty and the other is the whole interval.

## Connectedness in modal notation

$$
\begin{array}{cl}
\text { Using } \square \theta \equiv \forall x:[0,1] . \theta x \text { and } \diamond \theta \equiv \exists x:[0,1] . \theta x \\
\diamond(\phi \wedge \psi)=\perp \vdash \square(\phi \vee \psi) \Rightarrow \square \phi \vee \square \psi & \square(\phi \vee \psi) \Rightarrow \square \phi \vee \square \psi \vee \diamond(\phi \vee \psi) \\
\diamond(\phi \wedge \psi)=\perp \vdash \square(\phi \vee \psi) \wedge \diamond \phi \wedge \diamond \psi \Rightarrow \perp & \square(\phi \vee \psi) \wedge \diamond \phi \wedge \diamond \psi \Rightarrow \diamond(\phi \wedge \psi) \\
(\diamond \phi \wedge \square \psi \Rightarrow \diamond(\phi \wedge \psi)) & \\
\text { (Gentzen-style rule for } \diamond(\phi \wedge \psi))
\end{array}
$$

## Weak intermediate value theorems

Let $f:[0,1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, and use two of these forms of connectedness.

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
\diamond(\phi \wedge \psi)=\perp \vdash \square(\phi \vee \psi) \wedge \diamond \phi \wedge \diamond \psi \Rightarrow \perp & \square(\phi \vee \psi) \wedge \diamond \phi \wedge \diamond \psi \Rightarrow \diamond(\phi \wedge \psi) \\
\phi x \equiv(0<f x) \text { and } \psi x \equiv(f x<0) & \phi x \equiv(e<f x) \text { and } \psi x \equiv(f x<t) \\
\diamond(\phi \wedge \psi) \Leftrightarrow \perp \text { by disjointness. } & \square(\phi \vee \psi) \text { by locatedness. } \\
(f 0<0<f 1) \wedge(\forall x:[0,1] . f x \neq 0) \Leftrightarrow \perp & (f 0<e<t<f 1) \Rightarrow(\exists x:[0,1] . e<f x<t) \\
\text { or } \epsilon>0 \vdash \exists x \cdot|f x|<\epsilon
\end{array}
$$

so the closed, compact subspace

$$
\begin{gathered}
Z \equiv\{x: \mathbb{I} \mid f x=0\} \\
\text { is not empty. }
\end{gathered}
$$

so the open, overt subspace

$$
\begin{gathered}
\{x \mid e<f x<t\} \\
\text { is inhabited. }
\end{gathered}
$$

## Straddling intervals in ASD

## Recall that

$f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ doesn't hover if $(e<t) \Rightarrow \exists x .(e<x<t) \wedge(f x \neq 0)$.
$a: \mathbb{R}$ is a stable zero if $(e<a<t) \Rightarrow \exists y z .(e<y<a<z<t) \wedge(f y<0<f z \vee f y>0>f y)$.

$$
\diamond \phi \equiv \exists e t:[d, u] .(e<t) \wedge(\forall x:[e, t] . \phi x) \wedge(f e<0<f t \vee f e>0>f t)
$$

Then $a$ is a stable zero iff it is an accumulation point of $\diamond(\phi a \Rightarrow \diamond \phi)$.

If $f$ doesn't hover then $\diamond$ preserves joins, $\diamond\left(\exists n . \theta_{n}\right) \Leftrightarrow \exists n . \diamond \theta_{n}$.

Consider $\phi^{ \pm} x \equiv \exists n . \exists y .(x<y<u) \wedge(f y<0) \wedge \forall z:[x, y] . \theta_{n} z$. Then $\exists x . \phi^{+} x \wedge \phi^{-} x$ by connectness and continue as before.

